§ 750.13

Claims Act, and there being no investigative report available at the headquarters, a request shall be made to the commanding officer of the appropriate Naval Legal Service Command activity for an investigative report into the incident. If there is not a completed investigation, the request shall be forwarded to the appropriate naval activity to convene and complete such a report. The commanding officer of the Naval Legal Service Command activity shall determine whether an administrative claim had been filed and, if available information indicates none had, advise the Office of the Judge Advocate General (Claims and Tort Litigation Division) immediately.

§750.13 Claims: Single service responsibility.

- (a) The Department of Defense has assigned single-service responsibility for processing claims in foreign countries under the following acts. The service and country assignments are in DODDIR 5515.8 of 9 June 1990.1
- (1) Foreign Claims Act (10 U.S.C. 2734);
- (2) Military Claims Act (10 U.S.C. 2733);
- (3) International Agreements Claims Act (10 U.S.C. 2734a and b), on the prorata cost sharing of claims pursuant to international agreement;
- (4) NATO Status of Forces Agreement (4 UST 1792, TIAS 2846) and other similar agreements;
- (5) Medical Care Recovery Act (42 U.S.C. 2651-2653) claims for reimbursement for medical care furnished by the United States;
- (6) Nonscope Claims Act (10 U.S.C. 2737), claims not cognizable under any other provision of law;
- (7) Federal Claims Collection Act of 1966 (31 U.S.C. 3701); the Act of June 1921 (31 U.S.C. 3702), claims and demands by the U.S. Government; and
- (8) Public Law 87–212 (10 U.S.C. 2736), advance or emergency payments.
- (b) Single service assignments for processing claims mentioned above are as follows:
- ¹Copies may be obtained if needed, from Commanding Officer, U.S. Naval Publications and Forms Center, 5801 Tabor Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19120.

- (1) Department of the Army: Austria, Belgium, El Salvador, France, the Federal Republic of Germany, Grenada, Honduras, Korea, the Marshall Islands, and Switzerland and as the Receiving State Office in the United States under 10 U.S.C. 2734a and 2734b and the NATO Status of Forces Agreement, and other Status of Forces Agreements with countries not covered by the NATO agreement.
- (2) Department of the Navy: Bahrain, Iceland, Israel, Italy, Portugal, and Tunisia
- (3) Department of the Air Force: Australia, Azores, Canada, Cyprus, Denmark, Greece, India, Japan, Luxembourg, Morocco, Nepal, Netherlands, Norway, Pakistan, Saudi Arabia, Spain, Turkey, the United Kingdom, Egypt, Oman, and claims involving, or generated by, the U.S. Central Command (CENTCOM) and the U.S. Special Operations Command (USSOC), that arise in countries not specifically assigned to the Departments of the Army and the Navy.
- (c) U.S. forces afloat cases under \$2,500.00. Notwithstanding the single service assignments above, the Navy may settle claims under \$2,500.00 caused by personnel not acting within the scope of employment and arising in foreign ports visited by U.S. forces afloat and may, subject to the concurrence of the authorities of the receiving state concerned, process such claims.

§§ 750.14-750.20 [Reserved]

Subpart B—Federal Tort Claims Act

§750.21 Scope of subpart B.

This subpart provides information regarding the administrative processing and consideration of claims against the United States under the FTCA. The FTCA is a limited waiver of sovereign immunity. Under the FTCA, an individual can seek money damages for personal injury, death, or property damage caused by the negligent or wrongful act or omission of a Federal employee acting within the scope of employment. The FTCA also provides for compensation for injuries caused by certain intentional, wrongful conduct.

The liability of the United States is determined in accordance with the law of the State where the act or omission occured.

§ 750.22 Exclusiveness of remedy.

(a) The Federal Employees Liability Reform and Tort Compensation Act of 1988, Public Law 100-694 (amending 28 U.S.C. 2679(b) and 2679(d)), provides that the exclusive remedy for damage or loss of property, or personal injury or death arising from the negligent or wrongful acts or omissions of all Federal employees, acting within the scope of their employment, will be against the United States. This immunity from personal liability does not extend to allegations of constitutional torts, nor to allegations of violations of statutes specifically authorizing suits against individuals.

(b) Other statutory provisions create immunity from personal liability for specific categories of Federal employees whose conduct, within the scope of their employment, gives rise to claims against the Government. Department of Defense health care providers are specifically protected by 10 U.S.C. 1089, the Gonzalez Act. DOD attorneys are specifically protected by 10 U.S.C. 1054.

$\S 750.23$ Definitions.

(a) Negligent conduct. Generally, negligence is the failure to exercise that degree of care, skill, or diligence a reasonable person would exercise under similar circumstances. Negligent conduct can result from either an act or a failure to act. The law of the place where the conduct occurred will determine whether a cause of action lies against the Government. 28 U.S.C. 1346(b) and 2674.

(b) Intentional torts. Although any employee who commits an intentional tort is normally considered to be acting outside the scope of employment, the FTCA does allow claimants to seek compensation for injuries arising out of the intentional torts of assault, battery, false imprisonment, false arrest, abuse of process, and malicious prosecution, if committed by a Federal investigative or law enforcement officer. An "investigative or law enforcement officer" is any officer of the United States empowered by law to execute

searches, to seize evidence, or to make arrests for violations of Federal law. 28 U.S.C. 2680(h).

§ 750.23

(c) Government employees—(1) General. "Employee of the Government," defined at 28 U.S.C. 2671, includes officers or employees of any Federal agency, members of the U.S. military or naval forces, and persons acting on behalf of a Federal agency in an official capacity.

Government contractors. Govern-(2) ment (also referred to as independent) contractors, are those individuals or businesses who enter into contracts with the United States to provide goods or services. Because the definition of "Federal agency," found at 28 U.S.C. 2671, specifically excludes "any contractor with the United States, the United States is generally not liable for the negligence of Government contractors. There are, however, three limited exceptions to the general rule, under which a cause of action against the United States has been found to exist in some jurisdictions. They are:

(i) Where the thing or service contracted for is deemed to be an "inherently dangerous activity";

(ii) where a nondelegable duty in the employer has been created by law; or,

(iii) where the employer retains control over certain aspects of the contract and fails to discharge that control in a reasonable manner.

(3) Employees of nonappropriated-fund activities. Nonappropriated-fund activities are entities established and operated for the benefit of military members and their dependents, and have been judicially determined to be "arms" of the Federal government. These entities operate from self-generated funds, rather than from funds appropriated by Congress. Examples include Navy and Marine Corps Exchanges, officer or enlisted clubs, and recreational services activities. claim arising out of the act or omission of an employee of a nonappropriatedfund activity not located in a foreign country, acting within the scope of employment, is an act or omission committed by a Federal employee and will be handled in accordance with the FTCA.

(d) Scope of employment. "Scope of employment" is defined by the law of